CU NURSING APPROVAL OF QUALITY IMPROVEMENT, PROGRAM EVALUATION, OR EVIDENCE-BASED PRACTICE ACTIVITIES

Project Title:	
Project Lead:	Faculty Advisor:

Instructions for completing and submitting this form PRIOR to presenting for project approval:

- 1. Check the box in each row that best corresponds to your proposed project (click on the box and move from "non checked: to "checked").
- 2. In each row, include **brief notes** as to the reason for the choice specific to the proposed project in the Justification column on the right.
- 3. Save the file as: CU_Nursing QI_PE_Research Tool_STUDENT_NAME_DATE
- 4. Submit the form in **WORD** format as requested by the course faculty.

	RESEARCH	QUALITY IMPROVEMENT	PROGRAM EVALUATION	EVIDENCE-BASED PRACTICE	JUSTIFICATION
FUNDING	Funded by a research grant, award, or contract. If a study is funded as research, all activities using the funds are automatically "research." Also may be unfunded.	Typically unfunded, but may be funded by awards specifically for quality improvement, or directly funded by organizations. If funded, confirm IRB requirements, if any, with the funder.	☐Often funded by a grant, award, or contract for the purpose of developing or improving a service program. If funding requires an evaluation, ask funder whether this is research. Also may be unfunded.	☐Typically unfunded, but may be supported by funds from a hospital or other organization.	
INTENT	☐To develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge.	☐To improve a specific clinical practice, which may include improving the quality and/or consistency of care in a specific unit or an entire organization.	☐To evaluate the effectiveness of a specific program in meeting the intended goals of the program.	☐ To apply the synthesis of existing evidence to a clinical decision, development or revision of a policy, or practice change in an organization.	
DESIGN		cting research, quality improvement Differential aspects are provided Quality improvement is: Often designed as part of a cyclical improvement program (CQI, PDSA) Often tested graphically (IHI charts) Sometimes hypothesisdriven Rarely multi-site	ent, program evaluation and evided below as a guideline only. Program evaluation is: Sometimes hypothesisdriven Often statistically rigorous Sometimes multi-site	Evidence-based practice (EBP) integrates: • best evidence (research and non-research) • clinical expertise • patient values, experiences, preferences.	
COMPARISON GROUP	May use placebo or random assignment to conditions	May compare pre-post changes or cross-provider variations in care; never involves random assignment	May compare variations in programs or pre-post changes in care; never involves random assignment	Takes perspectives of all stakeholders into account to improve patient outcomes and provide quality care.	

QI/Program Evaluation/Research/EBP Tool

COMIRB version CF-195, Effective 6/5/20, Original Authors: Nichelle Cobb & D. Paul Moberg.

CU Nursing adaptation: 7/9/20 Paul.Cook; Instructions revised in 03/2024 by C. Amura, P. Cook & J. Disabato 03/2024

CU NURSING APPROVAL OF QUALITY IMPROVEMENT. PROGRAM EVALUATION. OR EVIDENCE-BASED PRACTICE ACTIVITIES May involve significant Usually tests incremental **STANDARD** May involve some deviation Focus on shared decision-PRACTICE deviation from usual care or changes to usual care or from usual care or standard making among patient, family, standard practice: never practice; never involves standard practice: may and providers: may evaluate involve investigational involves investigational investigational drugs/devices. existing variations in care. drugs/devices. drugs/devices. Clear intent to publish Project results will be **PUBLICATION** Intent to publish or present ☐ Project results will be results as research (e.g., in results generally presumed at disseminated internally (e.g. disseminated internally (e.g. within the organization) soon after a scientific journal, research within the organization) soon the outset of the project. poster/abstract, or other after completion to inform Evaluation results will be completion to inform care. If research/scientific forum). business decisions and provided to the program results are interesting, also may owner and stakeholders, and Publishing is presumed as operations. If methodology or be published. Publication must results are interesting, also part of professional, to the funder. Publication note that the project was carried out as EBP, and may not describe scholarly obligations and may be published. Publication must note that the project was expectations. must note that the project was carried out as evaluation, and it as research. carried out as QI, and may not may not describe it as describe it as research. research. **MANDATE** or Activities conducted to Activity is endorsed or Activity endorsed or Activity endorsed or mandated **ENDORSEMENT** fulfill academic obligations to mandated by the organization mandated by the program by the institution or clinic as part conduct and publish as part of its operations. owner and/or funder. of its operations. Project may be research, to complete a Project may be mandated by mandated by educational research project as a educational requirements requirements (e.g., requirement graduation requirement, or as (e.g., requirement to design to design and complete an EBP defined by a funding award. and complete a QI project). project). Findings of the project are **IMPACT** Findings of the study are Findings of the project are ☐Findings of the evaluation not expected to directly expected to immediately and are expected to immediately expected to immediately and affect institutional or directly improve an and directly demonstrate the directly improve clinical and programmatic practice institutional practice success and/or shortcomings institutional practice. of the program. POPULATION Carefully defined through Generally includes all Generally includes all Seeks representative input individual inclusion and members of a particular stakeholders of the program from all stakeholders in the exclusion criteria in the group in which improvements being evaluated (e.g., setting where policy or practice research protocol. are being implemented (e.g., program clients, staff, and changes are being considered all patients or providers in a leaders). Participation in the (e.g. all patients or providers in a Participation is voluntary and specific practice). particular setting). The goal is for generally requires an opt-in evaluation may be voluntary Participation in QI is for some but mandatory for the new policy or practice consent. generally part of the process others. Evaluation is generally change based on current of receiving care, but patients part of the basic operations of evidence to be implemented in might be able to opt out. the program. that setting. **BENEFITS TO** Primary benefit is from the Participants expected to Program clients are Participants expected to **PARTICIPANTS** scientific knowledge gained. benefit directly from the QI expected to benefit from benefit directly from the EBP Individual participants may intervention. participation in the program. policy or practice change. or may not benefit directly. Participants will not benefit Benefits to others (e.g., directly from the evaluation of future patients, society) are the program, which not generally immediate concentrates on program improvements or whether the

program should continue.

QI/Program Evaluation/Research/EBP Tool

COMIRB version CF-195, Effective 6/5/20, Original Authors: Nichelle Cobb & D. Paul Moberg.

CU Nursing adaptation: 7/9/20 Paul.Cook; Instructions revised in 03/2024 by C. Amura, P. Cook & J. Disabato 03/2024

CU NURSING APPROVAL OF QUALITY IMPROVEMENT, PROGRAM EVALUATION, OR EVIDENCE-BASED PRACTICE ACTIVITIES