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This *Handbook*, which includes parts of the Graduate School policies and procedures and College of Nursing policies and procedures, does not constitute a contract with the University of Colorado Denver Graduate School or College of Nursing, either expressed or implied. The Graduate School and the College of Nursing reserve the right at any time to change, delete, or add to any of the provisions at their discretion. Furthermore, the provisions of this document are designed by the Graduate School and College of Nursing to serve as highly recommended guidelines rather than absolute rules, and exceptions may be made on the basis of extenuating circumstances.
WELCOME FROM THE PhD IN NURSING PROGRAM DIRECTOR

Evidence-based nursing practice depends on nurse scientists to generate the evidence. Without science and without evidence, there can then be no determination of which nursing practices lead to the best outcomes. To establish and codify best clinical practices, nurse scientists investigate perplexing patient problems, test strategies to increase patient safety and care quality, lead interdisciplinary teams to improve the health care system, and add to the nursing profession’s unique, valuable body of knowledge. Congratulations on choosing to join the nursing science vanguard; congratulations on pursuing the PhD in Nursing.

This Handbook contains policies and procedures specific to the progression of students enrolled in the University of Colorado | Anschutz Medical Campus College of Nursing PhD in Nursing program. This Handbook should be used in conjunction with the most current edition of the CON Student Handbook and the Graduate School Policies and Procedures.

Please feel free to contact me with questions or recommendations for changes in the PhD Handbook.

Best wishes on this most exciting and rewarding journey!

Jacqueline Jones
Professor Jacqueline Jones, PhD RN FAAN
Assistant Dean PhD Program
jacqueline.jones@cuanschutz.edu
303-929-6418 text during COVID - related remote working
INTRODUCTION: DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY DEGREE (GRADUATE SCHOOL)

The Doctor of Philosophy degree is awarded by the Graduate School of the University of Colorado | Anschutz Medical Campus. Requirements for conferral of the degree are not only credit hour-based but also competency-based; in other words, the degree is not conferred merely upon the satisfactory completion of a course of study, however faithfully pursued. Students who receive this degree must demonstrate that they are proficient in some broad subject of learning and that they can critically evaluate work in this field. Furthermore, they must have shown the ability to work independently in their chosen field and made an original, significant contribution to the advancement of knowledge.

Students are responsible for following CU Denver Graduate School policies and procedures, especially those related to deadlines, examinations, and registration. Graduate School policies and procedures can also be found on the Graduate School resource webpage.
I. OUTCOME COMPETENCIES

The Doctor of Philosophy in Nursing program prepares scientists for stewardship of the nursing discipline and membership in the community of scholars. Doctoral study in nursing engages students in intellectual inquiry, knowledge development, and the conduct of independent research to understand and enhance (a) the health and wellbeing of and (b) the delivery of health care to individuals, families, and populations. Doctoral study depends on collaborative mentoring relationships between students and research faculty/other faculty scholars and active student engagement in the interdisciplinary scholarly community. Program graduates are prepared to pursue careers in intensive research environments and to become leaders of the profession. The PhD in Nursing program at the University Of Colorado | Anschutz Medical Campus (CU) College Of Nursing (CON) is designed to instill and foster specific Qualities in its graduates (Table 1).

Table 1. Qualities of the PhD in Nursing Program Graduate

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Creates new knowledge through the research process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Demonstrates commitment to the profession through publications and conference presentations or engagement in professional organizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Examines multiple theories and methodologies for application to research problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Engages with fellow scientists and students in scholarly discourse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Demonstrates ethical responsibility and action as a scientist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Considers research findings relevant to public health and health care policy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These Qualities are the outcome competencies of the PhD in Nursing program. They are threaded through all of the evaluation milestones from the Preliminary Examination to the Dissertation Defense. Each student is encouraged to become familiar with these Qualities and explore with their Academic/Major Advisor the areas of emphasis needed to manifest them by program completion.
II. APPLICATION AND ADMISSION

A. Admission Requirements

All Doctor of Philosophy students are admitted to the Graduate School following submission of required documents. Eligibility criteria for PhD in Nursing program admission are as follows:

- Completion of a Baccalaureate-or-higher degree in Nursing from an NLN or CCNE accredited institution;
- A 3.0 undergraduate and/or a 3.5 graduate grade-point average (GPA);
- Completion of a graduate-level inferential (intermediate) statistics course with a minimum grade of B (3.0); and
- Completion of Master's-level nursing theory course with a minimum grade of B (3.0).

If either the graduate-level nursing theory course or the inferential statistics content is not reflected in the course title on the transcript, a course description, course outline, or other supporting evidence is required.

B. Applicants with a Non-Nursing Master’s Degree

Applicants who hold an earned Master's degree in a field related to nursing, but who do not possess a Master's degree in Nursing, may be admitted to the PhD in Nursing program pending demonstration of advanced knowledge and skills in a nursing specialty area. The pursuit of an MS degree in Nursing, however, should be seriously considered (see MS-to-PhD option below). Master's-level courses in nursing theory and inferential statistics are required pre-requisites or co-requisites to PhD-level courses.

C. MS-to-PhD

A post-baccalaureate MS-to-PhD option is offered for students with a BS degree in Nursing. A 30-credit MS degree is earned along the way. Please note that credits earned in the Master's in Nursing program are not part of the typical coursework required to complete the doctoral degree.

The MS-to-PhD student can obtain a Master's degree in one of the following options:

- iLead: Online Master’s in Nursing Leadership;
- Special Studies—core coursework and additional coursework selected by the student and advisor with PhD in Nursing Program Director approval; or
- Master’s degree in an Advanced Practice Specialty. The specialty options generally exceed 30 credits for the Master’s degree.
III. PROGRAM PROGRESSION AND CURRICULAR REQUIREMENTS

A. Degree Requirements

Awarding of the PhD degree is based on completion of a minimum of 42 credits of graduate-level coursework and 18 dissertation credits. PhD requirements can be expected to take approximately four and one-half years to five years of full-time study (5-8 credits per semester). All students are expected to follow the Curriculum Plan. Full-time study is defined by the Graduate School as 5 credits per semester.

Graduate School policies indicate that all PhD degree requirements must be completed within eight years of matriculation. Students who fail to complete the degree requirements within this eight-year period are subject to Dismissal from the PhD in Nursing program and the Graduate School. Additionally, the CON has additional/unique degree progression requirements; in such cases, the CON/PhD in Nursing program policies supersede those outlined in the Graduate School Policies and Procedures (e.g., timeline for Preliminary Examination, timeline for Comprehensive Examination, timeline for the Defense of Dissertation Proposal). Figure 1 below depicts the milestones required for progression and graduation.

Figure 1. Timeline of Key Milestones

The following is a summary of some of the key milestones:

- A preliminary evaluation at the end of the first year of coursework with a grade of “Pass” or “Pass with Conditions”;
- Completion of all coursework with a grade of B- or better, and a minimum GPA of 3.0 or higher;
- Completion of a Comprehensive Examination, with a grade of “Pass,” by the end of the third year of coursework (students who pass the Comprehensive Examination advance to PhD Candidacy);
- Successful Defense of the Dissertation Proposal by the end of the fourth year; and
- An independent, scholarly Dissertation Thesis and Final Examination (Defense) with a grade of “Pass.”
B. Curriculum and Required Courses

The PhD in Nursing program builds a community of faculty and student scholars. Students admitted to the program are matched with faculty who will provide expert mentorship in the student’s selected research interest and guide the student’s Dissertation research.

The program curriculum has three distinct foci that sometimes overlap (Figure 2). These foci are Biobehavioral Science, Health Care Systems, and Caring Science. In addition to a set of courses specific to their focal area, students from each of these foci complete a common set of doctoral core courses related to advanced knowledge development, research design, and research methods.

1. **Biobehavioral Science.** The Biobehavioral Science focus concerns health, illness, and the interrelationships among their psychosocial, behavioral, and biological processes. Nurse scientists in this area generate new knowledge by investigating the prevention, progression, or management of a disease, symptom, or another health state across the lifespan.

2. **Health Care Systems.** The Health Care Systems focus concerns the interrelationships among characteristics of health care delivery systems, technologies, and outcomes in a variety of care settings and among a variety of populations. Scientists in this area generate new knowledge by investigating the unique and cumulative effects of contexts of care and care delivery processes on outcomes, with the goal of improving the health and safety of patients and communities through the provision of quality health care.

3. **Caring Science.** Caring Science has deep roots in Watson’s Theory of Human Caring. The Caring Science focus concerns integration of philosophy, arts, and humanities with clinical science for the practice of human caring and the creation of healing environments. Scientists in this area of research will use Caring Science as a foundation to explore ways of improving both health care delivery and individual health for the betterment of all.

These three foci emphasize both inculcation and generation of substantive knowledge in nursing. Nationally recognized content experts comprise the CON faculty and teach courses in each focal area. Each student builds an area of concentration, including an in-depth study in the selected focal area. Students’ plans of study are supported by coursework within the discipline of nursing and in other disciplines. Students work closely with their Academic/Major Advisor and Advisory Committee in choosing elective courses. Electives are to be supportive of the projected Dissertation research, and one elective must be taken external to the CON. Electives taken external to the CON support Dissertation research by enhancing relationships with potential Committee members and refining the students’ theoretical, content, and/or methodological perspectives. Nursing education courses, while important for future career possibilities, are not part of the plan of study and do not count as an elective.
C. PhD Program Curriculum Plan

All students are expected to follow the Curriculum Plan outlined below (Table 1). Students are prohibited from changing the Curriculum Plan and may do so only under unique circumstances and with the approval of their Academic/Major Advisor and the Program Director.

Table 1. Curriculum Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Fall</th>
<th>Spring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhD CORE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NURS 7101 Metathesis in Nursing I (3)</td>
<td>NURS 7102 Metathesis in Nursing II (3)</td>
<td>NURS 7200 Role of the Scientist I (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NURS 7521 Qualitative Methods I (3)</td>
<td>NURS 7522 Qualitative Methods II (2)</td>
<td>NURS 7531 Qualitative Methods I (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NURS 7001 Seminar: Diversity of Scientific Perspectives (1)</td>
<td>NURS 7002 Research Practicum</td>
<td>Methods (5) (choose 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**</td>
<td></td>
<td>+ 15 dissertation credits after coursework completed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Students are required to have 6 hours of elective coursework that directly supports their dissertation research.

Although infrequent, the PhD curriculum, course schedules, and offerings are subject to change. Courses are offered pending required minimum enrollment numbers. If curriculum changes are made, courses in the current curriculum will be offered for a specified period of time.

D. Distance Accessible/Intensives

The PhD in Nursing program was designed to be “distance accessible.” Course formats generally include the use of asynchronous online platforms augmented with scheduled, synchronous webinars. The second week of each of the first five semesters of coursework, however, is devoted to Intensives, a time when students are required to be in residence (physically present) on the Anschutz Medical Campus. During this week, students meet with their Academic/Major Advisors and Advisory Committees, start new classes in a face-to-face format, attend scheduled enrichment activities, and interact within and among student cohorts to form important social-support bonds. The schedule for Intensive week is developed by the PhD Program Director, with feedback from students and faculty. The schedule is sent to students at least 8 weeks in advance to allow students to make travel plans (when in-person).

E. Continuous Registration Requirement

1. Pre-Candidate Students. All students in the PhD in Nursing Program who have not as yet achieved candidacy (have not taken or passed their Comprehensive Examination) are expected to register for course credits EVERY Fall, Spring, and Summer Semester of the Program, including the semester in which their Comprehensive Examination
takes place. A Pre-Candidate student who fails to register in any given semester could face immediate Dismissal from the program unless a Leave of Absence has been formally requested and approved by the Academic/Major Advisor and Program Director.

2. PhD-Candidate Students (Post-Comprehensive Examination). Program Candidates (students who have successfully passed their Comprehensive Examination) must register for a minimum of 5 and a maximum of 10 dissertation credits each Fall and Spring Semester. It is also essential that students pre-register for courses each semester to avoid course cancellations. Students in Dissertation work must also register during the pre-registration period under the section number of their Academic/Major Advisor. Notification to students of dates for pre-registration is by student email only. Students must check their student email frequently for important announcements such as related registration information.

F. Transfer Credit

A maximum of 12 credit hours may be transferred to the PhD program, including 9 hours maximum allocated for elective credits and 9 hours maximum allocated for courses equivalent to one or more of the courses listed on the Course Offering Plan.

Transfer credit is defined as any credit earned at another accredited institution or credits earned as a non-degree student within the CU system. Consistent with Graduate School policies, “Graduate courses taken while the student was enrolled in a graduate program anywhere in the CU system, or an institution with established Memoranda of Understanding with CU Denver are considered resident, not transfer, and therefore fall outside the limits on transfer credits.” As a result, courses taken through the Nursing Education Exchange (NEXus) system do not count toward the limit on transfer credits.

The process for requesting the approval of transfer credits is clearly outlined in the Graduate School Policies and Procedures, and students should review this process carefully. Some highlights are as follows:

- The student must complete the Request for Transfer of Credit Form, attach official transcripts, and submit to the PhD in Nursing Program Director following signature by the student’s Academic/Major Advisor.
- Official transcripts showing courses to be considered for transfer MUST accompany the Request for Transfer of Credit Form. To be official, the transcript must be original, bearing the seal of the institution(s).
- The institution where the course was taken must be accredited.
- The course(s) must be at the graduate level (500/5000 or above).
- The course(s) must have a letter grade.
- The student must have obtained a grade of B- or better in each requested transfer course.
- The course(s) to be transferred must be approved by the PhD in Nursing Program Director and the Graduate School.
- The course(s) must be transferred prior to the semester in which the Comprehensive Examination is taken.
- The course cannot be officially transferred (credit given) until the student has satisfactorily completed one semester of enrollment with a minimum GPA of 3.0.
- Transfer credits do not reduce the residency requirement but may reduce the amount of work required at CU Denver to earn a degree.
G. NEXus Courses

The Nursing Education Exchange (NEXus) consists of distance accessible graduate courses offered by a collaborative of member universities. As a founding member of NEXus, the CON endorses this opportunity for students to expand their access to quality courses. NEXus provides a broad array of courses that may serve as electives to support student Dissertations. All elective courses, including those offered through NEXus, must be approved by the student’s Academic/Major Advisor. In addition, prior to registering, NEXus courses must also be approved by the Program Director. Students interested in registering for a NEXus course should contact the Office of Admissions and Student Affairs.

Since NEXus courses are taken outside the University, the student must transfer the course(s) into the Graduate School (see instructions outlined above). Because all required coursework, including electives, must be successfully completed or transferred into the Graduate School prior to taking the Comprehensive Examination, students should NOT be enrolled in NEXus courses the semester prior to taking their Comprehensive Examination.

H. Challenging or “Testing Out” of Graduate Coursework

Credit by examination is not allowed for graduate students. Programs may allow a student to challenge and test out of a given course, but the student does not register or receive credit for it. If a student successfully challenges a course, the program can require the student to take a different course or an elective. In all cases, the student must meet the minimum of 42 credits of coursework, plus 30 Dissertation credits, required for the PhD degree.

I. Independent Study Policy

Independent study courses are a mechanism by which a student and Academic/Major Advisor can develop and customize a course to be taken as an elective. CON policy states, however, that no required (non-elective) courses may be taken for credit as independent study without the prior approval of the Program Director. Independent study is arranged with the approval of the Academic/Major Advisor and the faculty member supervising the study (i.e., the independent study instructor); the student and instructor may name the course as it will appear on the transcript. To enroll in an independent study course, students must first complete the Independent Study Approval Form.

Course objectives, anticipated competencies and outcomes, activities, assignments, grading criteria, and number of course credits must be collaboratively determined by the student and the instructor, clearly written in a Word document outlining the independent study course plan, and attached to the Independent Study Approval Form. The student must obtain all needed signatures on the Independent Study Approval Form (with attachments) and submit it to the Program Director for final approval and signature. The Program Director will forward the approved form with attachments to the Office of the Coordinator of Graduate Programs.

J. Grades

The grading system at the University of Colorado | Anschutz Medical Campus employs letter grades with point equivalence as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-</td>
<td>3.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B+</td>
<td>3.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C+</td>
<td>2.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP</td>
<td>In-Progress; used for dissertation/thesis credits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Incomplete; automatically changes to F after one year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
K. Quality-of-Work/Grade Requirements

For details, please refer to the Graduate School Policies and Procedures. Some pertinent points include the following:

- Failure to maintain a GPA of 3.0 will result in the student being placed on Academic Probation.
- Transfer credits will not be included in the GPA calculation.
- Courses in which grades fall below B- (2.7) may not be applied toward the PhD degree, and consequently, the course must be retaken in order to achieve a grade of B- or better. The original course and grade (below B-) will appear, however, on the student's transcript. All grades received while enrolled in the PhD program, including those of courses that need to be repeated (grade of below B-), will be included in the GPA calculation.
- Any course taken more than seven (7) years prior to applying for PhD Candidacy (Comprehensive Exam), whether taken at CU or at another institution, must be determined to be current by the PhD in Nursing Program Director or designee(s). The Program Director will advise the Graduate School in writing that such courses have been validated and deemed to be current prior to the student's advancement to Candidacy.

The policies of the PhD in Nursing Program may be more stringent than Graduate School policies. In such cases, the PhD in Nursing program policies will supersede the Graduate School standards. Consequently, please note that the PhD in Nursing program policy, as documented in this Handbook, specifies the following:

- The status of a student who receives a grade of less than a B- two times or receives less than a B- in any two courses, at any time in the program, will be referred to the Student Affairs Committee (SAC) for a recommendation about progression. The student may complete and submit to SAC a Petition for Academic Progression, which can be found in the CON Student Handbook.
- A recommendation for Progression, Suspension, or Withdrawal will be made by the SAC. A recommendation for Suspension or Withdrawal will be forwarded by the SAC to the Dean of the Graduate School for action. The Graduate School has a separate appeals process as listed in the Graduate School Handbook.

L. Academic Probation and Dismissal

When a student’s cumulative GPA falls below 3.0, the student will be placed on Academic Probation, and the student and the PhD in Nursing Program Director will be notified by the Graduate School. The Program Director will notify the student’s Academic/Major Advisor. The student will have two semesters to raise the cumulative GPA to at least 3.0. With the recommendation of the Program Director and concurrence of the Graduate School Dean, failure to achieve a cumulative GPA of 3.0 within the two semesters will result in immediate Dismissal from the program.

M. Pass/Fail Policy

Courses taken for graduate degrees may not be taken pass/fail. All courses taken to satisfy the minimum requirements for a degree and listed on Advancement to Candidacy Forms must be taken on the A-to-F grading system. The grade of B- is the lowest possible passing grade for CON graduate programs.
N. Incomplete Grades (CON Policy)

CON policy states that

An incomplete grade is given only when students, for reasons beyond their control, have been unable to complete the course requirements. It is understood that a substantial amount of work must have been satisfactorily completed before such a grade is given.

If students have not completed a substantial amount of work, they should be advised to drop the course within the first two weeks of the term. Incomplete grades are given at the discretion of faculty by written request of the student, with an agreement made as to the timeframe and work required to complete the course satisfactorily.

The issue is especially important with web-based courses. These courses are removed from the server within 2 to 3 weeks after the completion of a term to provide server space for the next term’s offering. Students with a grade of Incomplete for a web-based course must definitely have a substantial amount of work completed in the course since it will be impossible for them to access these courses on an indefinite basis. A grade of Incomplete is not to be used for students who are failing a course and want extra time to improve their grade. In this case, the grade of F is given. Please be sure to follow the CON policy when considering a grade of Incomplete for a course and evaluate whether dropping the course is the appropriate action.

O. Grade Changes

A grade of Incomplete (I) will remain on the transcript even after course requirements are completed. The course will appear on the transcript a second time, with the final letter grade noted. A grade of Incomplete will be changed to an F after one year if no grade updates are submitted by the instructor.

A grade of In-Progress (IP) is used only for Dissertation credits and is changed to final letter grades when the final approved Dissertation is submitted to the Graduate School Office.

In addition, letter grades may be changed in the event of clerical or administrative error. However, no other grade changes are allowed by the Graduate School following the last day of the semester of enrollment. Students are not allowed to perform additional work for a course already completed in order to improve their grade.

P. Academic Grievance

Students who feel that they have been treated unfairly or outside of normal program policies may file a grievance in accordance with procedures outlined in the CON Student Handbook.

A student enrolled in the PhD in Nursing program must follow the process and procedures outlined in the CON Student Handbook prior to submitting a grievance to the Graduate School.
IV. OTHER COURSE-RELATED POLICIES

A. Leave of Absence (CON Policy)

A Leave of Absence may be granted for up to one year by written request to the PhD in Nursing Program Director. If approved, the Director will forward the request to the Graduate School for inclusion in the student’s file. Students who are absent for more than one year will be Withdrawn from the PhD program and will have to re-apply and be considered with all other applicants. An approved Leave of Absence does not automatically extend the time period for earning a degree.

To discuss program progression and prepare for program re-entry, it is the responsibility of the student to contact, in writing, the CON Office of Admissions and Student Affairs, Graduate Advisor(s), and PhD in Nursing Program Director one month prior to the end of the Leave of Absence.

B. Readmission of Former Students (CON Policy)

Students who were previously admitted to the PhD in Nursing program, but who did not complete that degree and who have not been registered for more than 1 year (3 consecutive semesters), must reapply to the PhD in Nursing program and the Graduate School of the University of Colorado Denver. They must proceed as follows in seeking re-admission:

- Status clarification with the PhD in Nursing Program Director (or designee) is necessary to determine re-admission eligibility. Former students must meet all admission criteria currently required by the Graduate School and/or CON at the time of their new application.
- They must complete a new application to the PhD in Nursing Program and submit the application fee.
- An admission recommendation will be made by a sub-committee of the PhD Committee, convened by the Program Director. The final admission decision will be made by the Graduate School.
- If re-admitted, an Individual Program Plan will be designed for the student, which may include testing for validation of current knowledge in courses previously completed.

C. Student Ethical Conduct

Students are expected to adhere to the highest standards of personal integrity and professional ethics. Students must adhere to both the College of Nursing Honor and Conduct Code, as printed in the CON Student Handbook, and the Graduate School Honor Code. Students who do not meet these standards and/or who violate the codes may be Dismissed immediately or placed on Disciplinary Probation by the Dean of the CON or the Dean of the Graduate School upon recommendation of the CON Student Advisory Committee.

D. English Proficiency

Applicants to the PhD in Nursing program whose native language is not English must take either the Test of English as Foreign Language (TOEFL) or the International English Language Testing System (IELTS) exam. To gain admission, the student must score above 80 on the TOEFL (subscores of 20 in Reading, Listening, and Speaking, and 24 in Writing) or 6.5 on the IELTS (subscores of 5.5 in each area). A student may be referred to the CON Office of Admissions and Student Affairs for academic support services.
E. Americans with Disabilities Act

The University of Colorado | Anschutz Medical Campus and the CON support the spirit and the letter of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). This law is designed to help persons with disabilities access the physical facilities, academic programs, and employment opportunities of the institution. Questions regarding the ADA should be directed to the Disability Resources and Services (DRS) Office.
V. ACADEMIC ADVISING

A. Academic/Major Advisor

At the time of admission to the PhD program, the student is assigned an Academic/Major Advisor by the PhD in Nursing Program Director. Selection of the Advisor is based on the compatibility between the student’s proposed research and the faculty member’s established program of research.

The Academic/Major Advisor is designated for the student in the first year to assist in selecting and sequencing courses and planning other activities to progress through the program to Candidacy status.

- The Projected Degree Plan is formed in the first year for meeting the degree requirements, remediating deficiencies, and identifying dates for Preliminary and Comprehensive Exams.
- The first-year Academic/Major Advisor can remain as the student’s Academic/Major Advisor beyond Year 1. However, this may be modified during progression through the program. The Academic/Major Advisor will be the student’s main partner and guide through the PhD program.
- The Academic/Major Advisor will assist
  - the student in sequencing courses, selecting elective courses, and planning other activities to progress through the program.
  - with the Plan of Study, including
    - plans for additional course requirements specified on admission, and
    - required dates for Preliminary and Comprehensive Exams.
  - with and approve the selection of elective courses to ensure that they are supportive of the projected Dissertation research.
  - the student in preparing for the Comprehensive Examination, Dissertation Proposal/Defense, and selection of the Advisory Committee members that will help the student reach these milestones.

B. Student Role in Academic Advising

Students need to meet with their Academic/Major Advisor regularly for discussions of research ideas, grant and course opportunities, and other potential areas of need/interest. The student is responsible for initiating these meetings. It is recommended that meetings occur a minimum of twice each semester, once during the Intensive period and at least one other time during the semester.

C. Advisory Committees

Through the process of advancing to Candidacy and Graduation, there are additional faculty Advisory Committees that will provide advice to the student and be responsible for determining successful attainment of all benchmarks (Figure 1), except the Preliminary Examination. The Advisory Committees are the Comprehensive Examination Advisory Committee, the Proposal Defense Committee, and the Dissertation Defense Committee. Ideally, membership will be partly or entirely uniform across all of these Committees, creating a stable advisory network for the student. Table 2 displays the timeline, purpose, composition, and documents associated with each Advisory Committee.
## Table 2. Summary of Advisory Committees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Comprehensive Examination Advisory Committee</th>
<th>Dissertation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Formed</strong></td>
<td>Early in the first year and finalized by Year 2</td>
<td>Post-completion of the Comprehensive Exam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Approval of the Dissertation Proposal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Purpose(s)</strong></td>
<td>• Guide the student through her/his graduate studies and guard against too narrow a specialization</td>
<td>• Guide and approve the Dissertation Proposal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Review student progress through the program</td>
<td>• Determine acceptability of the Dissertation as a worthwhile contribution to knowledge in the student’s area of concentration and nursing science</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Confirm that the student has successfully completed the requirements for Candidacy</td>
<td>• Guide and approve the Dissertation research process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Determine acceptability of the completed Dissertation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Membership</strong></td>
<td>Academic/Major Advisor and 2 other CON faculty members and 1 Outside member ( N = 4; \textbf{must include an Outside Member} )</td>
<td>Same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Graduate Faculty Appointments</strong></td>
<td>• Academic/Major Advisor must hold regular graduate faculty appointment</td>
<td>Same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Other members must hold regular or special graduate faculty appointments</td>
<td>Same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Documents and Forms (appendix)</strong></td>
<td>Advisory Committee Appointment Form</td>
<td>CON Proposal Defense Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Request to Schedule Exam Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Biographical Sketch Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Statement of Approval of Thesis Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Submitted To</strong></td>
<td>CON Office of Admissions and Student Affairs, Graduate Advisor(s), and PhD Program Director</td>
<td>CON Office of Admissions and Student Affairs, Graduate Advisor(s), and PhD Program Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Graduate School</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each Advisory Committee adheres to the following guidelines as determined by the Graduate School and the CON PhD Program:

- The student, in collaboration with the Academic/Major Advisor, is responsible for selecting the members that serve on each Advisory Committee.
  - The Academic/Major Advisor is a member of all Advisory Committees and must hold a regular CU Denver graduate faculty appointment.
  - The additional members must hold regular or special graduate faculty appointments with CU Denver.
- One “Outside Member” must be part of the Comprehensive Examination Advisory, Proposal Defense, and Dissertation Defense Committees.
  - The Outside Member must be outside the CON.
  - An individual with special expertise in the student's area of interest from another University may be acceptable as the Outside Member.
  - If the Outside Member does not hold a current CU Denver graduate faculty appointment, the Student must request an appointment for this individual by submission of a completed Special Graduate School Faculty Appointment Form and a current Curriculum Vitae. The processing of graduate faculty appointment can take several weeks and should be initiated as soon as the individual is selected for an Advisory Committee (suggested time to allow is 5 weeks).
  - Any costs incurred to bring an outside member to campus or connect the Outside Member via interactive video are the responsibility of the student, not the CON.
- The members of the Advisory Committees will determine the order of readers for the Comprehensive Examination, Proposal Defense, and Dissertation Defense Committee meetings.
- The student’s Advisory Committee membership as well as examinations and defense schedule must be approved by the Program Director.
- For further information, please refer to the CON Student Advising Policy.
VI. PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION/EVALUATION

As specified in the Graduate School Policies and Procedures, the CON PhD program is responsible for ensuring that students are qualified for doctoral study by successfully passing a Preliminary Examination (hereafter termed an Evaluation). The CON PhD Preliminary Evaluation is designed as a summative evaluation of the student’s first year of doctoral study and progress toward realizing the Qualities of the PhD graduate (Table 1).

A. Eligibility

To be eligible for the Preliminary Evaluation, students must have

- Successfully completed required coursework in the Year 1 Fall and Spring Semesters, with a grade of B- or better and a cumulative GPA of ≥ 3.0. See PhD program Curriculum Plan.
- Eliminated any deficiencies in prerequisites (inferential statistics or Master’s-level nursing theory course).

B. Process

The Preliminary Evaluation is based on the Qualities of the PhD graduate and is designed to capture student progress at the end of the first two semesters of the program. The Preliminary Evaluation Form will be completed by the following:

- Course instructors following each course in the first two semesters of the curriculum
- Academic/Major Advisors
- Students
  - In addition to completing the Preliminary Evaluation Form, students will complete a self-evaluation for each of the nine categories of evaluation.
  - The self-evaluation will be typed, no more than 3 pages, single-spaced, with 12-point font and one-inch margins, and consistent with APA style.

C. Submission

Students, course faculty, and Academic/Major Advisors will submit the form (students will submit the self-evaluation) by email attachment to the CON Graduate Programs Office no later than 5:00 p.m. MDT on the fourth Friday in May.

D. Disposition of e-files

The CON Graduate Programs Office will collate files pertaining to each student and forward the files to each student’s Academic/Major Advisor for synthesis.

E. PhD Faculty Preliminary Evaluation Meeting

The Preliminary Evaluation Meeting will be coordinated by the PhD Program Director and occur annually in June. This meeting will be considered confidential: information from the meeting will not be shared with any students or other faculty not in attendance except as deemed appropriate by the PhD Program Director.

- A quorum for this meeting will include a majority of the first-year course faculty and Academic/Major Advisors.
- All faculty members of the PhD program will be invited. Academic/Major Advisors will present collated/synthesized results of Preliminary Evaluation documents to the PhD faculty.
- The Academic/Major Advisor will provide recommendations:
  - Recommendation of Pass—students who receive a Pass will progress in the program.
  - Pass with Conditions—students who receive a Pass with Conditions will receive a remediation plan but will progress in the program during the course of the plan.
A recommendation for a Pass with Conditions requires a time-limited remediation plan (no longer than 6 months), which has been prepared by the student’s Advisor/Advisory Committee and brought to this meeting for discussion. The remediation plan content and timeline will be formalized through faculty discussion at the Preliminary Evaluation Meeting.

- Students who fulfill the plan as outlined and in the specified time frame will continue to progress in the program.
- Students who fail to fulfill the plan will receive a grade of failure on the Preliminary Evaluation, and the Program Director will recommend that the Graduate School Withdraw the student from the program.

  - **Fail**—students who receive a Fail are subject to immediate Dismissal from the Graduate School upon the recommendation of the program and concurrence of the Dean of the Graduate School.
    
    - A Fail recommendation should include information about when and how the student was notified of being in jeopardy in the program (e.g., counseling, Unsatisfactory Progress Notice)

- Following discussion, faculty members will determine by majority vote whether the student receives a Pass, a Pass with Conditions, or a Fail.

**F. Notification**

The Program Director is responsible for

- Explaining to students the Preliminary Evaluation process and timeline.
- Formally notifying students of the results of the Preliminary Evaluation.
- Copying the Academic/Major Advisor on all communication with each student.
- Placing a copy of the notification letter in the student’s file in the CON Office of Admissions and Student Affairs, Graduate Advisor(s).
- Notifying the Graduate School of the results.

**G. Academic Grievance**

Students have the right to file a grievance to request a review of decisions and actions, following procedures as outlined in the *Graduate School Policies and Procedures*. 

---
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VII. COMPREHENSIVE EXAMINATION

A. Admission to Candidacy

Admission to Candidacy occurs with the completion of the Comprehensive Examination.

After completing or registering for all program-required, non-dissertation coursework, and concurrent with applying for admission to Candidacy, doctoral (PhD/EdD) students must take a Comprehensive Examination in their respective discipline. This Examination will test a student’s mastery of a broad field of knowledge, not merely the formal coursework that s/he has completed (Graduate School Policies and Procedures, p. 27).

Graduate School policies and procedures apply to the Comprehensive Examinations taken by all CON PhD students.

B. Process Overview

While specific courses completed by the candidate are important, their content has been tested as a portion of the grading process for the course. The Comprehensive Examination is not a re-examination of course content. The Comprehensive Examination provides the PhD candidate with the opportunity to demonstrate mastery and synthesis of a broad range of nursing science knowledge, including the following areas:

- Theory construction, analysis, and evaluation;
- Research and analytic methods required to answer significant scientific questions;
- Existing and emerging knowledge in the nursing focus area (i.e., area of concentration); and
- Relevant knowledge from other fields that contribute to nursing science.

The Comprehensive Examination process begins in the second year of the program and must be completed no later than the end of the student’s third year. Under extenuating circumstances, and with the approval of the Program Director and agreement of the Dean of the Graduate School, the Examination may occur during the fourth year.

The Comprehensive Examination process culminates in an in-person Oral Examination (Figure 3). The Comprehensive Examination process has three main steps:

- Naming Committee Members (i.e., identifying the members of the Comprehensive Examination Committee);
- Written Readiness Portion (i.e., preparing the Comprehensive Paper); and
- Oral Examination (i.e., taking the formal Comprehensive Examination).

The Comprehensive Examination will be taken during or immediately following the last semester of formal coursework (i.e., when all required nursing coursework is completed). The Academic/Major Advisor and the two Advisory Committee members shall assess completion of program requirements and readiness of the student to take the Comprehensive Examination. Students must be registered for a minimum of one credit at the time of the Examination.

Each of the steps is outlined in detail on the following pages. Naming Committee Members is the initial step and is carried out in conjunction with the student’s Academic/Major Advisor. This Committee will meet during the second and third years to help determine the specifics of the Written Readiness Portion and the Oral Examination. Once the Committee determines that the Comprehensive Paper is acceptable, the Oral Comprehensive Examination follows. Successful completion of all steps of the process will result in the student moving to Candidacy. In the
Appendix are a Checklist to help guide students through the process and an Evaluation Form for faculty to use.

Figure 3. Comprehensive Examination Steps

1. **First Step—Forming the Comprehensive Examination Committee**. The Comprehensive Examination Committee has a minimum of four members (i.e., the Academic/Major Advisor and other faculty members selected by the Academic/Major Advisor and the student and must include an Outside Member). According to Graduate School and CON policies, the Academic/Major Advisor cannot serve as Chair of the Oral Examination. The processing of graduate faculty membership can take several weeks, so the process must be initiated as early as possible to avoid delay of the examination date (Suggested time is 5 weeks). The members of the Comprehensive Examination Committee, in conjunction with the student, will determine the order of readers of the Comprehensive Examination:

   - The order of readers of the examination is Academic/Major Advisor, First Reader, Second Reader, Third Reader, and Fourth Reader (if applicable).
   - The Outside Member usually serves as either the Third or Fourth (last) Reader.
   - The Chair of the Examination Committee should be the First Reader and is required to hold a regular CU Graduate School appointment.

   The student, with the Academic/Major Advisor, provides nominees to the PhD Program Director to fill the Committee appointments a minimum of **five weeks prior to the expected date of the Examination**. This should occur after the Outside Member has received the CU Graduate School special appointment.
2. **Second Step—Written Readiness Portion (Preparing the Comprehensive Paper).** The Comprehensive Paper is a preliminary indicator of readiness to take the Oral Examination and is used by the Committee to develop Examination questions; it is not evaluated as part of the Examination. The Academic/Major Advisor provides guidance and consultation to the student in selecting the topic of the Comprehensive Paper and in projecting the overall development of the topic. The topic should be carefully considered for doctoral-level thinking; there should be potential for sufficient breadth and depth in addressing the topic so that basic assumptions are examined and well-analyzed; and there should be a direction that the student can see leading to a creative approach to the topic. Once the topic and the direction have been clarified, the student independently completes the Paper. *Independence* is defined as free of editing, proofing, or major revisions provided by the Academic/Major Advisor or Committee members. It is strongly recommended that the Academic/Major Advisor and first and second readers approve an outline for the Paper prior to the student initiating the independent work.

- Comprehensive Paper guidelines are as follows: no more than 20 typed, double-spaced pages in length (exclusive of references); prepared using the APA format; and equivalent to a publishable manuscript.
  - The paper should reflect original thinking by the student, drawing on and reflecting a synthesis of knowledge and skills acquired during the doctoral program experience. While the paper should build from the doctoral program experience, it is to move beyond coursework already completed.
  - The Paper may provide foundational material that the student will use in conceptualizing the Dissertation, but the Comprehensive Paper is to reflect the knowledge and intellectual skills acquired in the program and not focus narrowly on the projected Dissertation. The faculty encourages students to submit Comprehensive Papers for publication on advice of the Advisory Committee.
  - CON PhD program policy requires that drafts of major papers such as the Comprehensive Examination Paper, Dissertation Proposal, and Dissertation Defense be submitted to Committee members with **at least 4 weeks** allowed for reading and response.
    - This policy accommodates the varying teaching, research, clinical, and travel schedules of the faculty and is respectful of their time and efforts. Usually, the Academic/Major Advisor and First Reader read the major papers identified above, perform initial editing, and make recommendations for changes prior to submitting the document to the other two members of the Committee.
    - However, the time frame for submission should be adjusted accordingly based on Committee members’ specific schedule demands.

- The Application for Candidacy Form needs to be submitted approximately 10 weeks prior to the planned date of the Comprehensive Examination.
  - The student will obtain instructions and an Application for Admission to Candidacy Form from the CU Denver Graduate School Office.
  - When the student completes and returns this Form, the Graduate School Office will do as follows: send a copy of the Form to the program; type a notice of examination and distribute copies of it to the student and examination Committee members; provide an Examination Results Form for the Committee to sign after the Exam.
The student also will obtain and complete a Request for Scheduling Exam Form and obtain the signature of the CON PhD Program Director. Both Forms are due in the Graduate School Office at least two weeks before the Examination. The CON Graduate Programs Advisor can assist the student in scheduling a room for the Exam.

- Usually, minor revisions are requested, and the student then submits the Paper to the full Comprehensive Examination Advisory committee in final form. The final written portion will be evaluated using the criteria below.
  - The paper is focused on a substantive topic in the discipline of nursing that (a) synthesizes the theory, research, and philosophy components of the doctoral program and (b) emphasizes nursing knowledge components of the program.
  - The paper is focused, concise, logically ordered, and readable.
  - The discussion reflects the breadth of knowledge in the field.
  - The discussion reflects the depth of understanding of the issues and problems related to the topic.
  - Original ideas and sound rationale for those ideas are presented; the significance of the ideas for the discipline of nursing is convincing.
  - The student’s position on controversial issues in the area of inquiry is defended with sound rationale.
  - The content of the paper is well-founded and accurate.
  - Scholarly methods and approaches to inquiry are reflected.
  - Citation and documentation of sources used are accurate and comprehensive.

- Consensus that the student is ready to take the Oral Comprehensive Examination is required of the Comprehensive Examination Advisory Committee members prior to confirming the date and time of the Oral Comprehensive Examination.
  - If the Comprehensive Examination Advisory Committee does not agree that the student is ready, the student will not advance to the Oral Comprehensive Exam.
    - Registration must be maintained according to Graduate School policies; these credits may be Dissertation credits (up to the maximum allowable of 10 credits).
    - The original planned Oral Comprehensive Examination is to be canceled and rescheduled when the Committee requires resubmission of the Paper.
  - The Committee will meet with the student to convey its evaluative comments and provide guidance regarding the steps required to demonstrate readiness to take the Oral Exam.
  - A written contract of agreement between the Committee and student is to be prepared and distributed to all concerned with the timeframe for completion of the Written Readiness Portion specified.
  - The contract may include an agreement that the student complete additional coursework, critical reading, or tutoring, among other steps.

- The Committee’s second assessment of readiness for the Comprehensive Examination will be accomplished by review of one revision of part or all of the original Paper.
  - If the requirements are not satisfied within the agreed-upon timeframe, the student will not be eligible to take the Oral Comprehensive Examination and will be dismissed from the program.

- The Academic/Major Advisor will notify the student of the Committee’s evaluation of the paper no later than 4 weeks after it is presented to the Committee (approximately 6 weeks prior to the planned Oral Comprehensive). The final approved Comprehensive Paper will be retained in the student's official file in the CON.
Note: It is essential that the student allow sufficient time for committee members to read these documents.

3. **Third Step**—Oral Examination (Taking the Formal Comprehensive Examination). Graduate School policies apply to the conduct and scoring of the Oral Comprehensive Exam.
   - All members must be present for this exam. However, one member, not the chair or student, may participate via interactive video only. Any costs incurred in the interactive video exam are the responsibility of the student. In extreme situations such as illness or injury, a member may join via virtual means such as Zoom. If virtual attendance is not possible, approval of an alternate member by the Graduate School can occur.
   - When the student passes the Oral Comprehensive Examination, the Graduate School is notified of the results of the Examination.
   - The Committee members will complete an evaluation of the Oral portion of the Comprehensive Examination using the Form found in the Appendix.
   - Following completion of the Comprehensive Examination, the Comprehensive Examination Advisory Committee concludes its activities and is discontinued. The same Committee or a new Committee will be appointed for the Dissertation.

Note: It is in the student’s best interest to maintain a consistent Committee for smoother progression through the Dissertation process. However, due to faculty retirements and/or change of topic/interest area and expertise needed, it is possible the student will need to reconstitute the Committee. The PhD Program Director’s approval is required for this change, and former and new members of the Committee must be notified by the student.

- If a student fails to complete all requirements for the degree within **eight years** of the date of matriculation, and within **four years** of the date the Comprehensive Examination was passed, a second Comprehensive Examination similar in extent to the first will be required before the Candidate may move on to the Dissertation process.
VIII. DISSERTATION

The Dissertation process is the culmination of knowledge gained in the program and a clear demonstration that the student has developed the Qualities of a PhD. The Dissertation Thesis is the written demonstration of these Qualities and is based on an original investigation that displays scholarly and critical judgment, as well as familiarity with tools and methods of research. The subject of the Dissertation Thesis must be approved by the student's Dissertation Committee. To be approved, the Dissertation Thesis should make a worthwhile contribution both to knowledge in the student's area of concentration and to nursing science as a whole. Graduate School policies and procedures apply to every step in the Dissertation Checklist. Upon successful completion of the Comprehensive Examination and attainment of Doctoral Candidate status, the candidate will select a Dissertation Advisor or Mentor.

A. Dissertation Advisory Committee

The Academic/Major Advisor and the Candidate will determine the membership of the Dissertation Committee, which may include all or none of the Comprehensive Examination Committee members.

Note: It is in the student’s best interest to maintain a consistent Committee for smoother progression through the Dissertation process.

- The composition of the Committee will be a minimum of four graduate faculty members with one of the four being an Outside Member. All four Committee members must hold Graduate School appointments.
- As with the Comprehensive Examination Committee, members will be designated by the student as follows: Dissertation Advisor, First Reader, Second Reader, and Third Reader, according to their expected contributions to the Thesis. A formal agreement to serve on the Committee needs to be obtained on the Dissertation Committee Membership Form.
- At least one member must be from outside the CON. The Outside Member shall represent the Dean of the Graduate School at the Defense. The same guidelines for an Outside Member that are described in the Comprehensive Examination section apply.
- The PhD Program Director must approve the Dissertation Advisory Committee. Changes in Committee membership shall be processed similarly.

Early in Dissertation development, the student should meet with the Dissertation Advisory Committee to discuss the proposed topic, make tentative plans for preparation of the Dissertation Proposal, and propose a timeframe for the Dissertation process.

B. Dissertation Proposal

The student must submit for approval by the Dissertation Committee a written plan for Dissertation research. This plan must consist of an overview of the proposed research, its original contribution to the field, and a description of research design and methods.

- In the CU CON, the Dissertation Proposal is usually in the format of the first three chapters of the Dissertation:
  - Chapter 1—Introduction, problem statement, significance, purpose, etc.;
  - Chapter 2—Literature review and conceptual framework (if appropriate); and
  - Chapter 3—Methods.

Table 3 provides an overview of the Dissertation Proposal content and the Dissertation Thesis options (traditional and manuscript options).
Table 3. Proposal and Dissertation Options Crosswalk Overview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section (Chapter)</th>
<th>Proposal</th>
<th>Dissertation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A(1)</td>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Purpose</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Rationale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Hypotheses/ Research Questions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Limitations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Implications for Nursing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Definitions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B(2)</td>
<td>Comprehensive Review of the Literature</td>
<td>Same as Proposal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(3)</td>
<td>Methods</td>
<td>Same as Proposal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Design</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Sample</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Setting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Procedures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Analysis Strategies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D(4)</td>
<td>Results</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E(5)</td>
<td>Discussion and Conclusions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Limitations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Implications for Further Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Implications for Nursing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>References</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Appendices</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Examples—Human Subject Approval and Consent, Instruments, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from Locke (2017) and American Psychological Association Publication Guidelines, 6th ed.

The written dissertation proposal should be circulated to the Dissertation Committee at least four weeks prior to the formal presentation (the CON calls this meeting the Proposal Defense). The written dissertation proposal shall be approved by each of the Dissertation Committee members prior to the Proposal Defense. While it is helpful to have all members of the Dissertation Committee present at the Proposal Defense, it is permissible to have one or two members (not the Advisor) join by telephone. The Dissertation Advisor may chair the Proposal Defense as this meeting is a CON requirement rather than a Graduate School requirement. At the end of the
meeting, the members of the committee must sign the Approval of Dissertation Proposal Form to signify their contract with the student to conduct the Dissertation as approved. The signed Approval of Dissertation Proposal Form must be submitted to the PhD Program Director.

C. Initiating the Proposed Research

The proposed research must have written approval from all members of the Dissertation Committee and the Institutional Review Boards of the University [Colorado Multiple Institutional Review Board (COMIRB)] and any data collection sites prior to beginning any data collection. All research conducted for the PhD degree must meet regulatory standards specified by federal, state, and local agencies regarding ethical research, animal use, human subjects, and environmental safety. Students are listed as the PIs of projects submitted to the COMIRB office; the mentor is also listed. All students must complete all training and certification required by the COMIRB office, including HIPAA training, certification, and updates. If another institution is involved in or the site of data collection, e.g., VA or Children’s Hospital Colorado, students are also responsible for fulfilling requirements of that institution.

D. Research Process Advising and Follow-Up

Following the Proposal meeting, according to Graduate School policies and procedures, the Dissertation Examination Committee must meet every 12 months (telephone conference is allowed) to evaluate the student’s work and ensure satisfactory progress since the previous meeting. The Committee Chair will complete the Dissertation Advisory Committee Meeting Form summarizing the student’s progress, or lack thereof, and send copies to the student, the primary Mentor if not the Chair, the PhD Program Director and the Graduate Dean. Major modifications in the design and analysis of the Dissertation must receive Committee approval in writing. In case of non-satisfactory performance, remediation steps should be suggested in the report.

Students must register for a minimum of 18 credits of NURS 8990, using the section number of the Dissertation Advisor. Graduate School policies and procedures apply to the allotments and distribution of these credits. A student must also be registered for 5 credits in the semester of the Defense Examination, even if this semester is Summer term. If the student has not defended at the time 18 credits are completed, the student will continue to register for credits according to Graduate School policies through the semester of the Dissertation Defense Examination.

E. Dissertation Thesis Completion

The Dissertation must be completed and submitted in written form to the Committee members at least 30 days before the expected date of the Final Examination (Dissertation Defense). Members of the Committee should provide feedback regarding major concerns about the written Thesis as soon as possible to the Dissertation Chair. Approval by the Dissertation Committee must be obtained prior to scheduling the Final Examination. Students must comply with deadlines and rules for submission and Defense Examination of the completed Dissertation as stated by the Graduate School Policies and Procedures and the CU Denver Academic Calendar. Once the Dissertation has been successfully defended; each Committee member will complete the Defense Evaluation Form.

After the Dissertation has been completed and before the degree is conferred, a Final Examination on the Dissertation and related topics is conducted in two parts, an oral presentation of the Dissertation research that is open to the public, called the Public Seminar, and a closed examination conducted by the examining Committee.

Note: Unlike the Comprehensive Examination, Graduate School policies allow the Dissertation Advisor/Mentor to chair the Dissertation Defense Examination.
F. Manuscript Option

Students have the option to include published articles or submissions under review within their Dissertation. Publication of research and other scholarly products is an important milestone in the development of the young scholar completing his or her PhD.

With approval of the Dissertation Advisor and written permission from the publisher, students may include incorporate into the Dissertation published or pre-published work relevant to the student’s research. The Dissertation Advisor will grant permission for inclusion only if these works (a) contribute to the logical flow of the work, (b) facilitate understanding of concepts and/or underlying theoretical bases for the study, (c) identify underlying problems, (d) illuminate issues with study design or methods, (e) clarify instrument development processes, (f) present pilot data or instrument-validation findings, (g) discuss analytic approaches, (h) help portray or illustrate findings, and/or (i) promote interpretation, discussion, and dissemination of study findings.

To include published or submitted manuscripts, the following conditions apply:

- The student will work with the Dissertation Advisor and Committee members as appropriate to explore the use of the manuscript option. The Dissertation Advisor will have final approval for the manuscript option.
- The included manuscript or portions of the manuscript must be submitted prior to the final copyright published version.
- The inclusion of publications or submissions from prior work must have prior approval from the Dissertation Advisor.
- The inclusion of a manuscript must logically flow within the chapter structure, be relevant, and read as one cohesive document.
- The format and chapter structure of the manuscript option is the same as the traditional Dissertation.
- If a submitted manuscript has been accepted for publication or has already been published, the student will use APA format when including the article in the Reference list.
- The student will NOT include the actual published article within the Dissertation.
- The student is responsible for securing any permission to use copyrighted material from the publisher or owner of the copyright. Documentation of this permission must be included in the Appendix of the Dissertation.

G. Final Steps in the Dissertation Process

The PhD Program Director must approve the student's Dissertation Defense Committee and the Examination schedule. The Graduate School must be notified on the appropriate forms at least two weeks before the exam. The Graduate School will send announcements of the Examination to appropriate faculty members, and the signed forms will be sent to the program office to be placed in the student's file for use at the Examination. The Graduate School will also post the notice of the Final Exam. The student must be registered at the time of the Examination. All members of the Committee must be present for the Examination. One member (not the Chair or the student) may participate by interactive video. Any costs incurred in the interactive video exam are the responsibility of the student. The Examination Form is signed by the Committee and returned to the Graduate School Office. Please see the Graduate School Policies and Procedures for an explanation of Examination results (pass/fail).

The Dissertation must comply with the specifications of the Graduate School. One formally approved printed or typewritten copy of the Thesis and two original abstracts must be filed in the Office of the Dean of Graduate School at least two weeks before the date on which the degree is to be conferred. An abstract, not to exceed 350 words, should be submitted for publication in Dissertation Abstracts International.
Note: Please pay attention to deadlines. Following the Dissertation Defense Examination, the student has 60 days to complete the Dissertation and submit the written copies as outlined above. Each semester, pertinent deadlines are posted on the CU Denver Academic Calendar, on the web pages of the Graduate School, and sent via email to graduate students. These deadlines will determine in which semester the student receives a diploma following Thesis submission. It is helpful to plan ahead: for example, if a student plans to graduate in May, the last day to file the Examination Scheduling Form may be in mid-April. The last day to take the Dissertation Defense Examination would be in early May, and last day for submission of the final Dissertation copy would be in mid-May.

Graduate School policies and procedures take precedence. Please refer to these policies for each step in the PhD program from Preliminary Examination through Comprehensive Examination and Dissertation.

The CON and Graduate School must be notified in writing (diploma card) of a student’s plan to participate in the Graduation ceremony. Full academic regalia are required and may be rented.
Philosophy

University of Colorado Denver College of Nursing, as an integral part of its parent institution, is dedicated to the pursuit of higher learning grounded in the arts, sciences, and humanities. The College of Nursing shares the missions of University of Colorado Denver

- improving human health by educating health practitioners
- delivering exemplary health care
- conducting research in the health sciences.

The missions of the institution are directed toward a diverse community. The university acknowledges, values, fosters and benefits from the unique qualities, rich histories and wide range of cultural values. The philosophy and mission of the College of Nursing are focused on education, research, reflective practice, and service within nursing.

The College of Nursing faculty believe nursing is a professional discipline with academic and practice dimensions. As a profession, nursing serves society through delivery of direct and indirect health care services to individuals, families and communities in local, regional, national, and international contexts. Nursing is theory-guided, evidence-based, and focused on holistic, relationship-centered caring that facilitates health and healing. As a profession, nursing is committed to ensuring quality health care for all. Nurses engage in political leadership to improve the health and health care of the society they serve. As a discipline, nursing is committed to

- a search for knowledge regarding human experiences of health-illness-healing and the human/technology interface
- development and testing of explanatory models of health, illness, and healing
- positive influence on the environmental contexts of health and health care
- promotion of quality and cost effective outcomes of nursing care.

The College of Nursing faculty believe that generation of disciplinary knowledge is founded upon pluralistic forms of inquiry, continual dialogue with nursing practice, and interdisciplinary collaboration. The College of Nursing faculty believe that university-based nursing education is responsible for preparing nurses for professional and discipline-related roles. Thus the faculty believe in the equivalent importance of undergraduate education for practice, and leadership and graduate education for advanced practice and knowledge generation. The faculty believe in advocacy for social justice, responsiveness to society’s needs and changing local, national and global health care environments. They are committed to promoting reflective practice with inherent reciprocity among nursing practice, theory, and research in all educational programs. The faculty further believe that preparation for various nursing roles requires education in the arts and sciences foundational to nursing and in substantive disciplinary knowledge regarding caring in the human health experience across the lifespan. The faculty believe that contemporary nursing education and practice require a commitment to diversity, interdisciplinary partnerships, and a grounding in faculty practice. This faculty practice models expert care and community service; provides opportunities to develop innovative models of health care delivery; improves access to care for underserved populations; engages in clinical inquiry; and tests nursing theories. Contemporary nursing education also is facilitated by articulated degree programs, flexible and self-directed programs that incorporate lifelong learning, innovative educational technologies, and inter-institutional collaboration.
Advisory/Dissertation Committee Agreement

Name of Doctoral Student: ______________________________________

(type or print)

I hereby request the appointment of the following Graduate Faculty of the College of Nursing to serve as my Major Advisor and members (2) of my Advisory Committee; they will serve in this advisory capacity and as Chair and two of the required four members of my Comprehensive Examination Committee. Each of these individuals has indicated willingness to serve, and my First Year Advisor has indicated agreement, by their signatures below. I understand that upon successful completion of the Comprehensive Examination, this committee will be dissolved, and that appointment of my Dissertation Committee requires separate action.

**Major Advisor:**

____________________________________________________________________
(type or print) Signature Date

**Committee member:**

____________________________________________________________________
(type or print) Signature Date

**Committee member:**

____________________________________________________________________
(type or print) Signature Date

**First Year Advisor notified:**

____________________________________________________________________
(type or print) Signature Date

**Doctoral Student:** _________________________________________________

(signature) Date

Submit this form to the UC Denver CON Graduate Programs Office
### UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO
### COLLEGE OF NURSING

Criteria Used for Student and Faculty Evaluation of Preliminary Exam
Preliminary Evaluation – First Year Ph.D. Nursing Students

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name: ________________________________</th>
<th>Faculty: ___________________________</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date: ________________________________</td>
<td>course faculty student advisor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Directions: Rate the student’s level of development in each of the following *Qualities of the Graduate*. BE = below expectations, M = meeting expectation, E = exceeding expectations. Use NA (not applicable) for items not observed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BE</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

1. **Create new knowledge through the research process.**
   - Clearly identifies and increasingly focuses on a substantive area of interest (research interest).
   - Evaluates nursing knowledge as encompassing diverse ways of knowing and diverse knowledge structures.
   - Proposes a formalized research question in own research interest.
   - Critically analyzes the major empirical qualitative and quantitative research designs.
   - Analyzes and critiques multiple perspectives of the nursing discipline’s central values and phenomena of interest.

2. **Demonstrates commitment to the profession through publications and conference presentations or engagement in professional organizations.**
   - Works with course faculty and major advisor to plan for publication(s) relevant to the dissertation topic.
   - Submits a manuscript for publication in a peer-reviewed journal
   - Submits a conference poster or presentation
   - Engages in professional organizations in leadership roles, task forces, committees, officer, director or other identifiable activities to support the organization and profession.
   - Conducts a critical review of existing literature in a substantive area of nursing science.

3. **Examines multiple theories and methodologies for application to research problems.**
   - Develops a middle range theoretical knowledge structure related to own research interest.
   - Critically analyzes the major empirical qualitative and quantitative research designs used to build substantive knowledge.
   - Proposes a design to answer a researchable question developed from a critical review of existing knowledge and application of an appropriate mid-range theory.
   - Analyzes, critiques, and applies different methods of theory development in nursing.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Engages with fellow scientists and students in scholarly discourse.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Engages with faculty and fellow students in a scholarly and professional manner.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pursues colleagueship within and across program cohort.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Analyzes the career trajectory of a scholar in a research area of interest.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Works with the major advisor to identify internal and external faculty members for advisory committee and future comprehensive exam and dissertation committees.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Identifies strengths and limitations in written and verbal communications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Seeks opportunities to strengthen communication skills.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Communicates clearly and promptly with course faculty.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meets deadlines for course assignments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Demonstrates ethical responsibility and action as a scientist.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Completes IRB and HIPAA certification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initiates regular meetings with a major advisor to work toward overall progress in pursuit of a doctoral degree.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Evaluates the role of the researcher as it pertains to integrity and ethics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Considers research findings relevant to public health and healthcare policy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Considers public health and policy implications in research proposals and methods.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Writes a Public Health Relevance Statement in a grant application.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:
## Comprehensive Exam Checklist

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>☐ Name Committee Members</td>
<td>Identify external member at least 2 months prior to the date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>☐ Submit Committee agreement form to PhD Program Director (and China)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>☐ Confirm outline/content with Advisor &amp; Chair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>☐ Submit date/affirm with committee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>☐ Request for the exam FORM and Application for Admission to Candidacy FORM to PhD Program Specialist (China)</td>
<td>Forms available on Grad School doctoral site, due two weeks prior to the comps date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>☐ Submit paper to Advisor and first reader</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>☐ Submit to full committee four (4) weeks before the date if paper acceptable</td>
<td>If not acceptable process starts again Must be done by the end of the 3rd year [minor exceptions]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>☐ Oral comps</td>
<td>20 min. slide presentation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

🌟 Admission to Candidacy
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria for the evaluation of the comprehensive paper</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The paper is focused on a substantive topic in the discipline of nursing that synthesizes the theory, research, and philosophy components of the doctoral program, and that emphasizes nursing knowledge components of the program.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. The paper is focused, concise, logically ordered, and readable.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. The discussion reflects the breadth of knowledge in the field.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. The discussion reflects the depth of understanding of the issues and problems related to the topic.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Original ideas and sound rationale for those ideas are presented; the significance of the ideas for the discipline of nursing is convincing.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. The student's position on controversial issues in the area of inquiry is defended with sound rationale.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. The content of the paper is well founded and accurate.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Scholarly methods and approaches to inquiry are reflected.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Citation and documentation of sources used are accurate and comprehensive.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:
Each member of a Comprehensive Examination Committee independently will complete this evaluation form and submit it within one week of the examination to the Program Director or designee. Please rate the quality of the student's Comprehensive Examination performance on each of the following criteria:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion</th>
<th>*Disagree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demonstrated mastery in a selected substantive area of the nursing discipline.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understands methodologies for critiquing, developing, and extending disciplinary and focal knowledge.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applies logical, critical, and creative thinking to a range of research problems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communicates effectively as a scientist</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appreciates an international perspective and cultural sensitivity in research</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appreciates ethical social responsibility and action as a scientist</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demonstrated personal development and excellence in research within and beyond the discipline.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demonstrates autonomous work both written and verbally as a scientist in the community of scholars.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* indications of disagree or strongly disagree require comment below.

Please rate the process of the Comprehensive Examination on each of the following aspects:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The examination began as scheduled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The examination was rigorous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The examination was fair</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Each member of the committee was given adequate time to question the student</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COMMENTS:

Faculty Signature ___________________________________________ Date __________________________
**UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO**  
**COLLEGE OF NURSING**

**Preparation for Dissertation (Proposal and Dissertation Defense) Checklist**  
 *(Used by student to help complete all steps)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Name Dissertation Committee Members</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Prepare Proposal (Chap 1, 2 &amp; 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Proposal developed Write Advisor and Consultants as needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Set a date for proposal defense &amp; consultation with PhD Program Specialist (China), allow at least four (4) weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Submit chapters to committee four (4) weeks before the date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Proposal Defense Dissertation Proposal Approval Form from PhD Program Specialist; needs to be signed by all committee members and returned to China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Pass without conditions OR Pass with conditions Finalize Proposal and/or other committee members Process starts again</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Manuscript published or accepted for publication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Complete COMIRB plus other regulatory paperwork as needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Must be registered for 5 credits in 8990 in the semester of defense.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Apply to Graduation (make sure to be on the look out for upcoming graduation information from Admissions &amp; Student Affairs, i.e. order regalia, etc.) Complete the Application for Graduation (through student portal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Communicate with committee minimum every six (6) months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Set Dissertation exam date allowing four (4) weeks for the committee to review the final document.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>Submit a request for exam FORM to PhD Specialist (China Hutchins) Due 2 weeks prior to defense at minimum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Schedule format review with Graduate College 2 weeks before exam preferred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>Submit Biosketch FORM to PhD Specialist (China Hutchins) Due 2 weeks prior to defense date at minimum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>Take defense examination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>Exam report form delivered to Graduate School (by China Hutchins)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>Meet any conditions of passing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>Committee’s approval of your dissertation; <strong>student is responsible for this form</strong> Statement of Approval FORM from Graduate School; <strong>MAKE SURE TO BRING THIS WITH YOU TO DEFENSE</strong> all committee members will need to sign off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>Upload dissertation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>Graduation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Approval of Dissertation Proposal/Dissertation Proposal Defense Examination

The following members of the Dissertation Committee have approved the dissertation proposal submitted by:

________________________________________
Doctoral Candidate

Completion of this form verifies prior approval of committee members by the PhD Program Director and approval of Graduate Faculty Membership for all members by the UC Denver Graduate School. The signed form indicates that a formal Dissertation Proposal Defense Examination was successfully completed.

Dissertation Advisor/Mentor______________________________________________
   (signature)          Date

1st Reader__________________________________________
   (signature)          Date

2nd Reader__________________________________________
   (signature)          Date

3rd Reader__________________________________________
   (signature)          Date

4th Reader__________________________________________
   (signature)          Date

Student’s Mailing Address: _____________________________________________

__________________________________________

Phone #: ________________________________________________

This form is to be submitted to the PhD Program Director, Graduate Programs Office
Each member of a Dissertation Committee independently will complete this evaluation form and submit it within one week of the defense to the Program Director or designee. Please rate the quality of the student’s Dissertation Research and Defense performance on each of the following Qualities of the PhD Graduate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion</th>
<th>Qualities Met</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demonstrates mastery in a selected substantive area of the nursing discipline.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understands methodologies for critiquing, developing, and extending disciplinary knowledge.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pursues learning as a journey of ongoing personal development and excellence in research within and beyond the discipline</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applies logical, critical, and creative thinking to a range of research problems</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Works both autonomously and collaboratively as a scientist within and beyond the discipline and community of scholars.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commits to ethical social responsibility and action as a scientist.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communicates effectively as a scientist and as a leading member of the discipline</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appreciates an international perspective or cultural sensitivity in research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embraces the diversity of opportunities for career development, professional roles, and disciplinary leadership as a nurse scientist</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COMMENTS: (note please comment on any quality marked Disagree)
Please rate the process of the Dissertation Defense on each of the following aspects:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aspect</th>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The defense began as scheduled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The public presentation was limited to 30 minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The defense was rigorous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The defense was fair</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Each member of the committee was given adequate time to question the candidate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The committee chairperson executed the responsibilities well</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The quality of the candidate’s dissertation research was:

_____ Unacceptable  _____ Acceptable  _____Good_____Excellent

The candidate’s potential as an independent scientist is:

_____ Very poor  _____ Poor  _____ Uncertain  _____Good  _____Excellent

COMMENTS:

Faculty Signature____________________________________ Date ____________________